

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In re ALEJANDRO P., A Person
Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law.

D055096

THE PEOPLE,

(Super. Ct. No. J220981)

Plaintiff and Respondent

v.

ALEJANDRO P.,

Defendant and Appellant,

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of San Diego County, Browder
Willis and Carolyn Caietti, Judges. Affirmed.

The juvenile court declared 16-year-old Alejandro P. a ward of the court after he admitted the crime of attempted murder. (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 602, undesignated statutory references are to this code.) The juvenile court found the crime to be a felony constituting a strike and committed Alejandro to the Department of Corrections and

Rehabilitation, Division of Juvenile Justice for the maximum term of nine years. It also ordered him to pay restitution to the victim in the amount of \$82,298.23, and restitution fines in the amounts of \$119 and \$100. (§§ 730.5. 730.6.)

FACTS

The probation officer's social study report indicated that on October 22, 2008, Alejandro participated in a carjacking with another individual. Alejandro's cohort cut the victim's throat and hand with a knife as he fought with the victim. During the fight, Alejandro yelled "Kill him," and tried to stab the victim with a knife.

The People filed a section 602 petition alleging that Alejandro had committed the crimes of attempted murder, carjacking, assault with force likely to produce great bodily harm and attempted robbery. The petition also alleged that he committed a serious felony, and personally used a knife and inflicted great bodily injury upon the victim. After Alejandro admitted the attempted murder charge, the People dismissed the remaining counts and allegations with a *Harvey* waiver (*People v. Harvey* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 754).

DISCUSSION

Appointed appellate counsel has filed a brief summarizing the facts and proceedings below. She presents no argument for reversal, but asks this court to review the record for error as mandated by *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436. Counsel has not referred to any possible, but not arguable, issues under *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738. We granted Alejandro permission to file a brief on his own behalf. He has not responded.

A review of the record pursuant to *People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436, and *Anders v. California, supra*, 386 U.S. 738, has disclosed no reasonably arguable appellate issue. Competent counsel has represented Alejandro on this appeal.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

McINTYRE, J.

WE CONCUR:

HALLER, Acting P. J.

McDONALD, J.